

## Annual Parish Meeting

17<sup>th</sup> May 2022

at

**WI Hall**  
South Cave

Present: 21 Members of Public

Clerk: Mrs Fielding

3 Speakers

Chair: Cllr Munby

1. Chair opened the meeting with a brief welcome to the attendees. The minutes of the Annual Parish Meeting of 6<sup>th</sup> May 2021, were read and approved
2. There were no matters arising.
3. The financial statements from the Samuel Jobson Bread Charity, the Sophia & Elizabeth Barnard Trust Charity and the Mrs Barnard Allotment Charity were read.
4. Presentations Katie Jones – East Riding Leisure (South Cave)  
Information was provided relating to South Cave Sports Centre's current timetable of classes and options for usage of the Sports Centre Facilities. Details were provided relating to membership and the benefits of being a member.  
Information was provided regarding a new Youth Club commencing 1<sup>st</sup> June 2022 at the sports centre, speakers requested resident's comments in order to consider additional services and requirements for the village, a notice will be published within the Parish Newsletter and website requested resident's comments.
5. Parish Council Question Time – Ask questions to the Parish Council  
Resident raised concerns relating to the newly installed 13.0m high wooden utility telegraph pole with 1.5m high metal bracket supporting 1 node antenna, the company submitting the applications are Connexin. Resident expressed concerns that correct procedures for the submission of Pre Planning applications have not been adhered to and would like the Parish Council to ensure ERYC have investigated the matter and are following the correct procedure. The masts are apparently accepted as part of the 'modern street scene'. I know that as this has been agreed country-wide my opinion does not matter, but I do not believe that the masts can be considered as such within our rural town environment.

Three of the Pre Planning Application queries relate to planning numbers: 22/00600/TELCOM, 22/00599/TELCOM, 22/00603/TELCOM two of the three pre-planning applications had been refused by the East Riding of Yorkshire Council, due to the application being incorrectly completed The Stray address and there had been no Consultation or reference to the airfield within the vicinity at Mount Airey on all three submissions. On the 11<sup>th</sup> May the masts were installed by Connexin, despite the fact ERYC had refused permission. The ERYC enforcement department advised they would not insist on the removal of the masts. Residents and the Parish

Council were then advised due to the Pre Planning application being refused, the applicant would be submitting Full Planning for the siting of the masts despite the fact they are already in situ.

It is understood the only ground for objection can be considered would be location such as near a grade 2 listed building, church etc. Residents are worried these masts could appear wherever the applicant wishes them to go, without any consideration to owners of properties within the vicinity or adjacent to the masts and the number of masts may not be limited as this is the first company to request such installations, companies in future, may wish to compete with the service providers, ultimately leading to more masts being installed.

These masts are not the usual size and dominate the streetscene, with the current rules relating to pre-planning consultations and the fact Residents and Parish Councils objection points have been limited by the procedure, streetscenes are under threat with the dominance of these over sized masts.

Resident requested the following information be clarified by the ERYC:

- 1) The correct procedure companies are required to submit applications for the masts
- 2) The procedure for applications refused for Pre-Planning including what remedies can be taken against applicant organisations which ignore that this is 'Not Granted'
- 3) The specific areas residents and Parish Council are able to object to applications
- 4) If in future more companies request this type of installation are there any limits the ERYC can consider implementing in order to protect and restrict future numbers being installed
- 5) Advise if the ERYC has any intention to question and object to companies submitting this type of application, we understand Hull City Council have objected to Government
- 6) Confirmation ERYC have considered the following points relating to application: 22/00599/TELCOM is a) not in keeping with existing infrastructure because there are no telephone poles on that estate, only street lighting columns and b) by installing the mast it breaches the original planning approval for the estate in which all infrastructure had to be below ground

Resident understands and reluctantly accepted the process of mast installation that government has agreed, to not require Planning Permission (PP) but submit Prior Approval (PA) but this still needs to be applied for and has been particularly referencing complaint correspondence in relation to the application subject to Annie Med Lane/Water Lane

Resident accepted that the Parish Council had only received a few complaints about the masts, but felt that awareness needed to be raised and/or that the Parish Council should have a deeper understanding of the future threat. With apparently no limits or effective processes in place to control the masts, it appeared that they could technically be placed anywhere and everywhere. It is probably more complaints would follow as soon as others' houses were badly impacted; resident felt the issue should be a collected village long term concern, not just the concern of those immediately impacted.

Resident request information relating to the Road Works at Market Place/Church Street Junction. A brief overview of work was provided advising the Path was being extended reducing the Road Width, hopefully assisting a easier crossing for pedestrians

Resident raised concerns with the lack of adequate signage for Heavy Vehicles at Beverley Road South Cave. Resident was in conversation with the ERYC highways

department relating to the problems and requested the Parish Councils support on the matter. Clerk requested information be forwarded in order for the matter to be placed on a Parish Council Agenda for discussion.

6. Chris Mottershaw – ERYC Transport Commissioning Manager

Information was provided relating to the new reduced Bus Service. It was noted residents were not satisfied with the service provided as links to Hull and Brough were limited. Transport surveys revealed the lack of passengers to routes.

Speaker requested residents submit ideas and suggested to the ERYC in order to review the needs of the village. Clerk to make arrangements for a notice to be placed within the Parish Newsletter and Website.

Chair closed the meeting at 9:00p.m with thanks to attendees

.....Chair

.....Date